

# Let Freedom Ring!

Volume 6 Number 7

Available electronically at [www.cmlc.org/cmlc/pubs.htm](http://www.cmlc.org/cmlc/pubs.htm)

July 2004

**Let Freedom Ring!** The Elephant is in the Living Room; Badnarik to Speak in Boston; Republicans Backstab Libertarian Defectors; Libertarian State Leadership Alliance Business Meeting.....1 Thank You for the Help, Operation Welcome Back, Special Interest Groups: Do they Act in Their Own Best Interests.....2 Financials.....4 Jain Publishes Letter to Editor.....5 LPMA Wiki Pages.....6

**Libertarian Strategy Gazette** Fireworks Petitioning Tips, Libertarian Party State Leadership Alliance Annual Meeting Report.....1; Run As An Independent.....4

Buying Broadcast Time.....5

**Let Freedom Ring!** Libertarian Strategy Gazette are edited and published by George Phillis, 87-6 Park Avenue, Worcester MA 01605, who is solely responsible for the contents, for the Pioneer Valley Libertarian Association ([www.pvla.net](http://www.pvla.net)) and others. Subscriptions are available from the PVLA, c/o Carol McMahon, 221 Bumstead Road, Monson MA for \$15/year to Activists, \$20/year to others. Checks payable "PVLA", please.

## The Elephant Is In The Living Room

I call your attention of Massachusetts readers to the elephant in the living room.

For our last three races for Governor, the vote totals were

1994-Cook and Crawford 14,698 0.66% Four Way race

1998-Cook and Israel 32,184 1.66% Three-way race

2002-Howell and Aucoin 23,044 Five Way Race

It could reasonably be noted that in 1998 our men were the only third party candidates in the race, and benefited from such Green and Independent voters as exist and oppose the Democratic-Republicans, not to mention the voters who given the chance would support the Eccentric Party. In 2002 the Greens had a real candidate, and the Ec-

[The Elephant in the Living Room] (Continued on page 4)

## Republicans Backstab Libertarian Defectors

Readers may have heard over the past years of former Libertarian Party members who have decided to remain active in politics while crossing over to the Democratic or Republican Parties and running as a Democrat or a Republican. How are those candidates, who are sometimes lured to come across with generous offers of support, being treated by their new-found friends?

There recently appeared on Jason Auvenshine's 'statechairs\_echo@yahoo groups.com' list a letter showing the truth, as forwarded to the list by Indiana State Chair Mark Rutherford. It concerns the Republican Congressional Campaign of long-time activist Andy Horning, who had previously run for Congress as a Libertarian but who had been 'tempted to switch sides by the Marion County GOP and Indiana State GOP.' *Let Freedom Ring!* sources confirm that Horning is not the only Libertarian defector to have received such treatment at the hands of his new party. The letter as forwarded to us reads

"To friends and neighbors of the Indianapolis community,

[Republican Backstabbing] (Continued on page 3)

## Badnarik to Speak in Boston September 18 at the Freedom Rally

Mark Saturday, September 18 on your calendar, as the day to go to Boston and here Libertarian Party Presidential Candidate Michael Badnarik address the Boston Freedom Rally, the pro-relegalization (of Marijuana) rally conducted by MassCann. The Rally will take place on the Boston Common, and will run from noon to 5 PM.

The PVLA Tent will appear at the rally. An excellent site has been purchased. Sharing arrangements have been offered with the Free State Project, just as last year. Plans are underway to distribute to as many attendees as possible simple literature supporting the Badnarik campaign, which is the State Libertarian Party's only chance to maintain major party status in the Commonwealth.

We understand that there will be a Badnarik fundraising dinner, probably the previous evening, and will have more details when they become available.

## Libertarian State Leadership Alliance Business Meeting

(From the State Chairs' Meeting at the start of the year)

The LSLA held a business meeting Sunday morning. Conference registrations and additional donations covered all costs with a surplus of \$230 (unofficial). The consensus was this conference again was "tremendously valuable". This was a sentiment shared by both first-time and repeat attendees. The convention was "focused and organized".

This comes despite having managed to experience the typically single annual Carolina snowstorm. While the hotel facilities offered the best dollar-for-dollar value in the area, there was a call for a better quality hotel that was more comfortable and closer to the airport.

Doug Klippel (FL) recommended we compile lists of best practices and best issues prior to the conference as an adjunct to the surveys conducted this year by Ray Ledford (TN). A best practices manual is a recurring request (Ted Dunlap, ID in

[LSLA Business Meeting] (Continued on page 6)

## Thank You for the Help

During the petitioning Season I went out just about every day. I came up about 30 signature short. We came close to the 150 signatures needed thanks to Paul Norton and his friend, especially one week where I could not collect signatures. House to house canvassing with its small percentage of positive reinforcement was essential because of the odd shaped district ( 9<sup>th</sup> Hampden ) and the major party requirement that we get only un-enrolled voters and Libertarian voters.

Robert Joseph Underwood  
83 Cherrelyn St.  
Springfield, MA 01104-2441  
**PVLA Member**

## Letters

### Operation Welcome Back

Mail from the Libertarian National Party is like being dunned watching Sunday morning TV. I am not being asked to contribute to "Operation Welcome Back." The great success is that 771 people have rejoined the LNP.

What are these 771 prodigal Libertarians going to do? Are they going to run for office? Are they going to circulate petitions for candidates? Will they even sign a petition for Libertarian Candidates? Will anyone, other than the LNP even be able to find any of these people?

I once belonged to a church that would go over the "inactive list." Whenever there was a financial crises that is what they would do, go over the "inactive list," rather than look for innovative ways to recruit new members. People are on the inactive list because they are inactive. While they may be active for a while, they will drop out at the first disagreement with anything.

The letter states that we have 110,640 potential supporters, a potential supporter being a lapsed member, or a person who has dialed their 800 numbers. If these statistics were true, in Massachusetts it should be easy to get enough signatures to get many candidates on the ballot, but it is not. Just about every campaign I have read about has had difficulty getting the candidate on the ballot.

I think registering new voters who will sign Libertarian petitions, and who will vote Libertarian may help. But this involves going door to door, and personal contact. Many are of limited use at this stage.

Robert Joseph Underwood  
**PVLA Member**

## Now Available in Paperback

George Phillis' books *Stand Up for Liberty!* on the Local Organization Strategy for the Libertarian Party, and *Funding Liberty* on the 1996-2000 Presidential campaign anomalies, are now available in paperback and ebook format. For more information <http://www.3mpub.com/phillis>

## Special Interest Groups: Do They Act in Their Own Best Interests?

Back in the "good old days" of the Indochina war and the civil rights movements political activities consisted of mostly rallies. We were reduced to conducting rallies because we could never get an anti war candidate on the ballot. Pro-civil rights groups had public demonstrations for the same reason, they would never get a pro-civil rights candidate on the ballot in most jurisdictions.

We have Special Interest Groups for freedom to carry firearms, reduce taxes, marijuana legalization, civil rights, civil liberties, pro gay groups etc. On the surface of it, it would seem that the Libertarian platform should appeal to them all, thus making getting 10,000 signatures to get a candidate on the ballot in a state wide office very easy. But it is not. Right now getting the necessary signatures is a challenge for campaigns at all levels.

My main interest is the right to be armed, which in the end enables the protection of freedom against those who might take away that freedom. I belong the National Rifle Association. It has become little more than a branch of the Republican Party. There is no major Republican effort to abolish gun control. Most NRA members in Springfield will vote for parties which support gun control. There is no local effort being mounted by pro-gun groups to get pro-second-amendment candidates on the ballot. They have shot themselves in the foot.

There are various pro-legalization of marijuana organizations in Massachusetts. There are probably well over 10,000 marijuana users in Massachusetts, more then enough by themselves to get someone on the ballot for Governor, US Senator, and Attorney General. But they do not. They hold rallies. Many of them occur while Libertarian Candidates are struggling to get enough signatures on a petition. You can sit in a cloud at some of those rallies for quite a while and no one will tell you that you should be out getting signatures on a petition, nor how to do it. The few who are will lucky to be heard over the music. The end result is that in most districts there will be no pro-legalization candidate on the ballot. Here in Springfield the City Council has increased the penalty for drug dealing. By and large the incumbents were re-elected and running virtually unopposed. There has been no effort to get pro-legalization people into the city government.

Kudos also go to the Log Cabin Republicans. Several months ago they were bragging that they had access to the White House that they never had before. Same Sex marriages came in the back door in Massachusetts. Gay relationships forming bother no one. But when they fall apart, like everyone else, they go to the courts for distribution of property and custody of minors. So the easy thing to do was to make a ruling that same sex couple could marry.

The result was that the "good access guy" in the White House wants an amendment against same sex marriages. He also

## Web Pages:

Worcester [wcla.tripod.com](http://wcla.tripod.com)  
Western Massachusetts [pvla.NET](http://pvla.NET)  
America and the World [www.cmlc.org](http://www.cmlc.org) (Now in Spanish)

changed from being a Methodist to a "Born Again Christian." Romney and many members of the House are feverishly working to undo the same sex marriage ruling. The same sex marriage lobby is holding rallies and signing petitions. But by and large the incumbents who are against the same sex marriages are running unopposed. As I told one person,

*"your petitions are next weeks recycling, the only petition that counts is the one to get the incumbent replaced, and you decided to not participate in the effort."*

The referendum for income tax repeal got a large percent of the vote. So did the candidates who want to raise taxes. Most of them were re-elected. So had the referendum passed, they would have just repealed the results of the referendum. Anti tax people usually do not get involved in the issues that raise taxes. The incumbents promise things like a new dog pound, a new jail, more police etc., etc. Anti tax groups are usually silent on those issues, and by and large do not seek to get Libertarians on the ballot, the only group that will really lower taxes.

At the risk of being accused of having a "chip on my shoulder" special interest groups are not serving their interests by sitting out the nomination process and endorsing candidates after the nomination process has finished, usually with no candidate who will support their interests.

Refusing to participate in the nomination process accomplished two things. It helps the candidate who would support the interests of the "special Interest group" to not get his name on the ballot. It also discourages other people from running, knowing that they will receive no help from the groups whose interests they might represent.

Rallies and petitions are things to do when you have no recourse in an election. While everyone was holding their rallies, the incumbents were mailing petitions. If they got a 1% return rate they are on the ballot. Most will be running unopposed.

Then of course we have another problem. Many special interest groups are one issue groups, which want liberty for themselves. Pro gun people may like the idea of putting marijuana smokers in jail and banning same sex marriages. Several House members supporting same sex marriages support gun control, and fascism in general. While every faction supports fascism for the other guy, then end up getting it for themselves.

I suppose some are reluctant to criticize the strategies of the special interest groups from whom we solicit votes. But some one needs to say it. My observation was that there were a lot of feel good rallies during petitioning season that posed absolutely no threat the incumbent.

Robert Underwood

**PVLA Member**

*(Continued from page 1)* **[Republican Backstabbing]**

The past several months working with the Horning campaign have been eventful. There have been some major developments that I believe people need to know about. After some careful consideration and events from the past several weeks, I have found myself coming to some careful decisions.

Effective immediately, I hereby resign my position as Campaign Manager for the Horning for Congress campaign.

It's necessary to state the reasons why I have come to this decision. It has nothing personally to do with Andy Horning himself. It is my strong wish that my relationship with him remain as strong as it has been for nearly 5 years now. Andy has proven time and time again what a good friend he is, and I hope that continues. My respect and admiration for him will continue to grow.

My reasoning for this decision comes from several sources. One, frankly I'm not convinced that I'm the best person for this job. As leader of this organization I assume responsibility for the lack of progress we have made. I naively assumed that the party, having recruited Andy for several years; would actually make an effort to support him during this race. The actions of party leadership and major donors have shown otherwise.

\* Our \*GOP State Chairman \*has been a complete loss for help. He refuses to meet or have a personal discussion with Andy, once even having his assistant cancel an appointment while Andy was in the building, heading towards his office.

\* Our \*GOP County Chairman\*, while publicly expressing some support for Andy, tells WISH-TV that he doesn't want whomever is the nominee to run an active or competitive race. These sentiments have been echoed by leadership in the county organization. In a recent Star article, Chairman Murphy stated/ the party provides other kinds of support -- volunteers, organization and a strong slate led by candidate for governor Mitch Daniels and President Bush to bolster other candidates./ If that truly is the case, we have yet to see any of this support. The county party has also directly expressed that they are more concerned with having a Republican Marion County Coroner than a Republican 7th District Congressman, and will focus their efforts in that direction.

\* The \*previous Republican Congressional Nominee\* refuses to assist Andy, while at the same time blaming him for his loss in 2002; apparently confusing Andy's 2.7% result with the 9% he lost by. He refuses to contact any potential donors, expressing the view that his credibility is at stake due to his belief that he ran the "perfect" race in 2002.

There's no question that this attitude from the GOP has affected major donors and other supporters that are desperate for change here in the Seventh Congressional District.

In the past, Republican nominees in this District have always had a large contingent of volunteers eager to help make a change. I believe that the attitude from the Party Leadership has also affected that group as well. With the exception of a few, I have been forced to take on a large work load, forcing me to spend long hours away from my family, spending a considerable amount of money for gas and other expenses with

## Let Freedom Ring!

little or no compensation or reimbursement from the campaign.

This is not due to Andy refusing to compensate me even the pittance I requested, but because funds simply aren't there and I'm not convinced they ever will be.

It's also frustrating that we probably can raise more money as the Libertarian Party nominee instead of as the Republican. While I again accept some of the blame for this, the fact remains that the dozens of contacts we have made have consistently slammed the door back in our faces. With the exception of Mike Pence, other members of the Indiana Republican Delegation haven't supported us either, despite our pleas for help.

Unless Republican Party Leadership changes their tune, good candidates like Andy Horning and Marvin Scott will not have the opportunity to run competitive races where issues and ideas can be discussed. Party Leadership needs to embrace all GOP candidates, rather than support the "most exciting candidates". Sure, everyone likes to support a winner. But Andy Horning can and will bring back real leadership and new ideas to Indianapolis. This is no different than other candidates the party is refusing to assist like Brian Jessen, Mort Large and Dr. Scott.

To my best friend, I wish you the best. Andy is working the hardest I have ever seen. I believe he will make and incredible Congressmen and represent Indianapolis well in Washington, D.C. He continues to have my respect for his efforts.

Sincerely,  
Jacob Perry  
Campaign Manager  
Andy Horning for Congress

(Continued from page 1) [The Elephant in the Living Room]

centric Party had a real candidate, too, costing our candidates those votes.

On the other hand, in 2002 our candidate made major media buys was the beneficiary of publicity from two prior runs for statewide office could tie her campaign into her tax-cut initiative, which in the end would get 45% of the vote Had a volunteer network built up over two prior runs for statewide office. Faced a Republican party whose internal rot had advanced appreciably.

All these advantages, so far as can be told, counted for naught. Howell and Aucoin had fewer votes than Cook and Israel, four yours prior. The scheme we have had for pushing for large statewide campaigns, despite spending huge amounts of money (for a Libertarian Party) and having a variety of positives that look like they would be worth something, is not yielding positive outcomes. Like the first 9999 experiments to build a light bulb, which also failed, this failure does not mean we have bad people, any more than Edison had bad people, it means that alternative approaches are in order.

And for those who say that keeping major party status gives people something to do, would it not be better if those people were instead doing any of the vast list of useful things that they could be doing?

I sent the above to our State Committee, which has been in office for some months now. With an election fast approaching, the State Committee has thusfar met once, and that for fewer than two hours.

### Financials

For May, the LPMA State Account had no income, and spent \$35 on a Nova Info System Merchant Fee, this being the credit card arrangement that collected the money.

For June, the LPMA State Account received donations for \$55, and spent \$35 on a Nova Info System Merchant Fee, this being the credit card arrangement that collected the money.

For May, the LNC Financials, May 2004	
Cash on hand, January 1, 2004	45958
Cash on hand 5/1/2004	60766
Receipts May	310581
Disbursements May	235800
Cash on Hand 5/31/2004	135546
Owed TO the Committee	1995
Debts OF the Committee	112583

At the last LNC meeting, Bill Redpath noted that the National Party would need to be spending \$45,000 a month on ballot access for the next three months, in order to arrange for ballot access. I have made a point of asking a member of the National Committee Executive Committee whether the LNC would be pursuing Ballot Access expenditures beyond those it

(Continued on page 5)

## Show Your Liberty Spirit!

Become

[yourname@4liberty.net](mailto:yourname@4liberty.net)

Now \$14/month

for Libertarians

[excell.net/excellnet\\_national-dialups.htm](http://excell.net/excellnet_national-dialups.htm)

Dialup in most states and Canada.

**Libertarian Owned  
Libertarian Operated  
Supporters of the  
Pioneer Valley  
Libertarian Association**

had already made. The answer was that there was no current expectation of making that spending, because the money simply is not there.

So where does the LNC Spend its money? Exclusive of UMP payments, as copied from the May LNC Amended FEC Report, LNC spending included:

Harry Browne Convention speaker fee 1500.00  
 Libertarian Party of West Virginia Ballot Access 5460.00  
 Texas Libertarian Party Ballot Access 20000.00

Accurate Printing Printing 908.76  
 Advanced Mailing Services Printing 2916.98 3119.22  
 4590.00, 4211.81; 3080.00; 3091.01  
 Juliet Agyare Salary 815.08858.04  
 American Business Services Inc. Service charges 295.40  
 Atlanta Marriott Marquis Convention 40000.00  
 Atlanta Police Department Security 280.00; 245.00  
 BestBuy Computer supplies 126.86522.49  
 Blackbaud Software consulting 3259.47  
 Blue Cross Blue Shield Health Insurance 4204.00  
 Andrew Bradley Salary 307.63; 342.89  
 Andrew Bradley Per diem 150.00  
 Jerry Cloud Salary 1256.97; 1157.41  
 Connection Printing 3376.20  
 CrateandBarrell Office supplies 240.21  
 Ananda Creager Salary 138.96 125.00  
 Creative Loafing Advertisement 1176.25 1045.00  
 Susan Dickson Salary 757.74; 749.12  
 Discover Business Services Service charges 173.65  
 Gladis Douwopka Salary 693.97 680.92  
 FastSigns Printing 462.42  
 Federal Express Shipping 108.15 31.91  
 Frye & Wolcott Accounting 3100.00 500.00  
 George Getz Salary 1339.621382.73  
 Erin Hollinden Telemarketing 2523.00  
 Indiana Department of Workplace Development Unemployment 37.78  
 Innovative Business Technology Inc Network services 3597.62  
 Intuit Payroll Services PR taxes 4034.01; 4109.44  
 J&N Printing Printing 5444.00  
 MassMutual Life Insurance Company 401K 844.66; 291.38; 844.66; 291.38  
 (NAME DELETED) Refund 1025.00  
 Netfire.com Web hosting 280.37  
 NewEgg Computers Digital Camera 599.99  
 Office Depot Office supplies 558.15  
 Office Team Temporary help 713.28; 722.67  
 On Time Mailing Inc Printing 824.89; 1327.50  
 Dianne Pilcher Salary 908.85887.37  
 Dianne Pilcher Office supplies 85.23  
 Poker Face Entertainment 1500.00  
 Postmaster Postage 3760.40  
 Purchase Power Postage 1200.00  
 Quill Corporation Office supplies 421.80  
 Riggs Bank 10.00 339.00 1013.74 2099.06 74.00 100.00 180.00  
 15.00 196.00 64.00 545.00 12.00  
 Steve Rosa Graphic consultant 262.50

Convention refund 319.00  
 Joseph Seehusen Salary 2573.17 2573.17  
 Rodney Severson Salary 1027.06 1064.02  
 Office supplies 22.80  
 Spectrum Awards Corona, California Office supplies 530.71  
 Staples Chambersburg, Pennsylvania Computer supplies 323.50  
 State Farm Insurance Companies Business insurance 502.00  
 Strange Pleasure Tours Austin, Texas 78704 Entertainment 5000.00  
 T-Mobile Telephone 117.07  
 Target Minneapolis, Minnesota Office Supplies 254.27  
 Telecompute Corporation Telephone service 47.18  
 Kris Tonner Entertainment 550.00  
 Travelocity Southlake, Texas Airline ticket 302.20  
 287.20 302.20237.20253.20255.00  
 TrizecHahn Watergate Office LLC Rent 8390.33  
 United Parcel Service Shipping 374.35  
 VanGogh Inc Convention lighting 16200.00  
 Warner Norcross & Judd Legal services 2083.33  
 Whole Foods Market Supplies 308.24  
 Jessica Wilson Salary 961.11 903.83  
 Per diem 125.00  
 Winstar Telephone 1026.78  
 William Winter Postage reimbursement 28.56  
 WMATA - Metrochek Metro tickets 500.00  
 Xpedite FAX services 1000.00

## Jain Publishes Letter to the Editor

This letter to the editor was published in the July 1st issue of "INDIA New England", a widely circulated paper among Indians/Indian-Americans and people from that region of the world. This group has historically been strongly allied with the Democrat party and more recently some have been leaning Republican (like there's a difference). So, here's the Libertarian alternative...the smart choice.  
[indianewenglandnews.com/news/690150.html](http://indianewenglandnews.com/news/690150.html)

### Consider Libertarian Party when voting in November

Your May 1 article, "Indians putting money, support behind Kerry," and subsequent letters to the editor published in the June 1 issue from Dr. R. Vijayanagar and Dr. Dinesh Patel present a typical view held by many - but not all - Indian-Americans.

That view erroneously limits the political spectrum to "Democrat vs. Republican," which is akin to going to a Baskin-Robbins ice cream shop and limiting oneself to "chocolate vs. vanilla." In reality, without using a microscope, it is nearly impossible to discern any principled or substantive differences between George W. Bush and John Kerry, or between their respective political parties.

It is time for Indian-Americans to honestly ask the question, "What made America the land of opportunity?" The answer is simple: Small, constitutionally limited government that serves only to protect the rights of all its people equally - citizens and non-citizens alike. Indians are successful despite today's big government, not because of it.

## Let Freedom Ring!

Neither the Republican nor Democratic parties advocate small government; only the Libertarian Party, using the words of Thomas Jefferson and then Mohandas Gandhi, advocates, "That government which governs least, governs best."

Historically, Indians came to America in pursuit of dreams which they were unable to pursue under the massive, choking government in India. Ironically, with recent policy changes in India and astonishing government growth in the United States, the tables may be turning. More and more Indians are returning to India because of diminishing opportunities here and increasing ones back home.

Vijayanagar's statement about President Bush's "tax cuts" and economic growth are based on faulty logic. Tax cuts are not possible without spending cuts, and President Bush and the Republican Congress have increased spending at an unprecedented rate. Those alleged tax cuts are nothing more than a carrot to appease the masses, while the stick of reckless deficit spending is kept from view. The "economic growth" is a façade that appears when now-debunked, Keynesian supply-side economics are in use; it pays attention only to those who prosper at the public trough and ignores those who do not.

Patel's letter refers to "participatory democracy," which demands all voices must be heard. Sadly, listening to only Democrats and Republicans ignores many voices. I encourage Indian-Americans to consider the Libertarian Party ([www.lp.org](http://www.lp.org)) and to cast a vote for our presidential candidate Michael Badnarik ([www.badnarik.org](http://www.badnarik.org)) when they go to the polls in November.

If you are concerned about "wasting" your vote, consider Badnarik's position on the topic: "The Democrats and Republicans both increase the size of government, both parties increase your level of taxes, and increase the level of government intervention in your life. If you want smaller government and you vote for either the Democrats or the Republicans then you have wasted your vote."

Kamal Jain, Lowell, Mass.

Editor: Kamal Jain was the first candidate for statewide office in the history of the Libertarian Party of Massachusetts to carry a town, beating all of his opponents.

## LPMA Wiki Pages

David Roscoe writes us:

I have updated the LPMA affiliates list at [libertarianwiki.org/](http://libertarianwiki.org/) LPMA to show all known LPMA affiliates. Most of them have not yet created their own wiki pages, but clicking on an affiliate name will begin that process. I've also added a page at [libertarianwiki.org/LPMA\\_SampleAffiliate](http://libertarianwiki.org/LPMA_SampleAffiliate) with instructions and a template for creating an affiliate page. Using this page should make the process easier, and help to give the LPMA wiki pages a consistent appearance. I've Bcc-ed everybody on that list so that they will all know that their LPMA affiliate can now have its own wiki Web up in minutes. I've also added a temporary page at [http://libertarianwiki.org/LPMA\\_Affiliate\\_Contacts](http://libertarianwiki.org/LPMA_Affiliate_Contacts) that contains a list of contact information for LPMA affiliates that do not yet have their own wiki pages.

Try it. It is an interesting alternative.

(Continued from page 1) [LSLA Business Meeting]

2003, among others). Bonnie Scott (NY) is compiling a website of useful documents at [www.January31.com/statechairs](http://www.January31.com/statechairs), meaning that before long a best practices manual may be compiled by mining her site as well as Success 99 available at [lp.org](http://lp.org).

Some topics mentioned including candidate recruitment, campaign strategies, ballot access drive strategies and conflict resolution are available at Bonnie's site.

Looking ahead, Sean Haugh (NC) distributed a proposed organizational charter. Mark Nelson (IA) desires to focus the LSLA limiting it to staging this annual conference, and to partner with separate groups who might provide other Libertarian events even across the hall from the LSLA conference. An example might be a candidate training session staged by the Leadership Institute and arranged by a separate group.

The issue then was whether the formalization proposed in Sean's charter was needed or not, whether it was a help or a hindrance, and whether it was necessary to limit the group's focus or be adopted and accept whatever bureaucratic baggage that might ensue.

The group decided to allow the LSLA to grow in its current laissez faire manner before it reassesses its charter next year. Accordingly, Sean's charter was tabled. Ray Ledford (TN) has stepped up to become the new LSLA chair. Bob Sullentrup (MO) agreed to make arrangements with a hotel near Lambert Airport in St. Louis to hold the 2005 meeting there on the same weekend. The most likely site is the Renaissance Hotel where the LNC met in December. Mark Nelson and Ray Ledford are responsible for obtaining speakers and setting the program agenda. Mark Rutherford (IN) remains on the committee, and Chuck Williams (SC) remains as treasurer, and Mark Nelson (IA) in an advisory role. The LSLA thanks Brad Klopfenstein (IN), Sean Haugh (NC) and Gerhardt Langguth (AR) who are retiring from committee service. Sean Haugh will pass on to Bob Sullentrup the authorizations to set up and manage the YahooGroups e-mail address list for the St. Louis organizing committee.

## Stand Up for Liberty! Funding Liberty

George Phillies' books on our Party's  
strategy and history

Available in e-book and trade paperback format  
<http://3mpub.com/phillies>